## Exhibit C



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Petent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PAPER NUMBER 1984 NEW YORK NY 10017-6105

APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PAPER NUMBER 1984 NEW YORK NY 10017-6105

DATE MAILED:

Pisase find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATE PEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

R.G

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. DVORK19 09/323,948 DE/09/99 0551XZP EXAMINER MM92/0519 KIRSCHSTEIN OTTINGER ISRAEL & FRECH.K SCHIFFMILLER P C PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 551 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10176-0024 2876 DATE MAILEDI 05/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicaritis	• •			
Office Action Summary	09/328,848		Dvarkie et al			
······································	Examinar Karl D. Prach		Group Art Unit 2876			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/5/99, 6/30/	99, &/27/99, 9/13/99	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·		
This action is FINAL.						
[7] Since this application is in condition for allowance excellent in accordance with the practice under Experte Queyle,	ot for formal matters, 1935 C.D. 11; 463 (	prosecutio ).G. 213.	n es to the mer	its is closed		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the malling date of this communication. Far application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	lure to respond within	n the period	i for response w	rill cause the		
Disposition of Claims						
© Clalm(s) <u>49-60</u>		is/ero p	anding in the a	polication.		
Of the above, claim(s)		la/are w)	thdrawn from c	onsideration,		
Cialm(s)		18	/are ollowed,			
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □		is	/are rejected.			
L] Claim(a)		ls	/are objected to			
["] Claims	are subject	to restrict	on or election re	quirement.		
See the arrached Notice of Disfraperson's Parent Discussion  The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Exa  3. 1999 Is Eapp  or.  ority under 36 U.S.C.  es of the priority documber)	miner, irpved (19 ,\$ 119(a)-(c) iments hav	i). e been			
received in this national stage application from Certified copies not received:						
[] Acknowledgement is made of a citilm for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C	. å 119(o).		1 PS (c) 1016		
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-152	or No(s) <i>5,6</i>	•				
SEE OFFICE ACTION (	ON THE FOLLOWING P.	40ES	· 			

Page 2

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Art Unit: 2876

- The preliminary amendments filed 6/9/99, 6/30/99, 8/27/99, and 9/13/99 have been entered into the application. By these amendments, claims 1-48 have been canceled and claims 49-60 have been added. The proposed drawing, Figure 13, filed 9/13/99 have been approved by the examiner.
- Upon review of the parent applications, it has been determined that the currently claimed 2, invention had not been wholly disclosed prior to December 15, 1994, the filing date of the Sakai et al reference relied upon below.
- The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Red. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 49-52,54 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,859,417 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: all the currently claimed limitations can be found within the patent claims. The examiner

AUG.29.2005 5:03PM 3219847078 ADDMG

NO.810 P.20/26

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Art Unit: 2876

Page 3

acknowledges that the patent claims do not specifically state "concave and convex" aplanar mirror surfaces. However, these are inherent features of the "cylindrical and toroidal" lenses of the patent claims. Also, it is acknowledged that the X and Y axis of the current claims are not specifically set forth in the patent claims. However, these too are inherent features of the overall apparatus of the patent claims. That is, these X and Y axis features must be present in the apparatus of the patent claims as they are defined by the patent specification. Therefore, it is in wording and semantics only which the current claims 49-52 and patent claims differ,

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 P.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claims 53,55-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims I-11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,859,417. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the current claim elements are found with the patent claims except for the laser diode of claim 53 and the first and second aplanar surfaces being convex and concave respectively as in claim 55. However, laser diodes are old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a laser diode as the laser light source of the patent claims. This would provide a cheap and easily replaceable laser light into the system. Also, both convex and concave mirrors are old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

in the art at the time the invention was made to use a concave surface and a convex surface in the system of the patent claims. The concave and convex alternately shape the scanning light. Thus there would be a greater depth of field of the system of the patent claims.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action;

A person shall be entitled to a parent unless -

(c) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakai et al 5,572,008. Sakai discloses a fixed mirror 13 with two aplanar surfaces. These two aplanar surfaces are set on different ellipses focused around the same point, i.e. the two surfaces have different curvatures or profiles. All mirrors by their physical nature "fold" light. As there are no other elements claimed, a "folding mirror" must be taken as just that, a surface capable of "folding" light.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lindacher et al 5,179,271, Lindacher et al 5,484,990, Marom 5,475,208 and Meyers 4,766,298 all disclose multifaceted fold mirrors.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Frech whose telephone number is (703) 305-3491. The examiner can normally be reached workdays from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald T. Hajec, can be reached on (703) 308-4075. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [kmrl.frech@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazetts of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any fax transmission which may be intended as non-official for consideration by the examiner for interviews or other purposes should be clearly marked "DRAIT" and/or "COURTESY COPY" along with a statement to "DELIVER DIRECTLY TO EXAMINER". Such an un-official fax transmission must not be signed as it will not be entered into the application.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Karl Frech

Primary Examiner, AU 2876

May 17, 2000



224.00.47070...01

Form PTO 948 (Rev. 8-08)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - Patent and Trademork Office

Application No. 09/328948

## NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

the drawing(s) filed (imper date) 6/9/90;	
Approved by the Draftaperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152	
1. DO shipered to by the Drettsperson index 37 CPR 1.84 or 1.152 for the request indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawing must be symitted according to the instructions on the back of this no	alc

1. DRAWINGS. 37 CPR 1.84(p): Acceptable categories of drawings: Black ink. Color.	H. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS, 37 CHIL 144(I)  White do not eight on a botternial, 120-10-right finition
Culor drawings are and exceptable until pethon is granted.  Pig(s)	when page is either apright as mused so that the loo
Printed and non black ink not permitted. Fig(v)	9. SCALE 37 CPR 1.84(k)
Phonigraphic double-weight paper). (ilg(s)	orowding when drawing is reduced in size in two-thirds in reproduction.  [Fig(s)
Pear quality (luff-tops), የ/g(s) ጌ ንንንዊ OP PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	10. Chàracter of lines, Numhers, & Letters. 37 CPK 1.84(0
fraction (loxible, strong, white, std dittible, friμ(s) trasitions, averwritings, interfigentians,	Linus, numbers & letters not whitermly thick and wall defined eight, dysphie, and hinck (poor line quality).
folds, copy machino marks not accepted. Fig(s)  Mylus, veluis paper is not acceptable (Mysthis).	[7] [8]
Fig(s)	Sold blest shading not permitted. Fig(s)  Shade lines, puts, rangh and blorred. Dig(s)
21:0 cm by 20.7 cm (D[N kizo A4) 21:6 cm by 27:9 cm (8 1/2 x 1] (nehel)	/2. Numbers, letthrs, & reference characters. 37 Cox 1.84(p)
All drawing sheets and the same sixp.  Sheet(s)	Numbers hind followings characters not plain and legible.
J. MAROINS, 37 CFR 1.84(g); Acceptable margina;	Ligure logands are poor. (igg)  Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same direction as the view, 37 CFR 1.84(b)(1)
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5cm Right 1.5 cm Bullow 1,0 cm Sizis: A4 Size Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bollow 1.0 cm	Fig(s) Bagish alphabet not used. 57 CTR (.84(p)(2)
Margina not acceptable. Pig(x)  Tep (T)  Left (L)	Pigy Numbers, between any reference characters that he at lengt
我们((な)   Bottom (B)   6. VIEWS, 37 CPR 1Jは(h)	Fig(s)  13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)  Lend lines evers each other. Fig(s)
REMINDER: Specification may require revision to correspond to drawing changes.	Lead lines missing. Fig(a)  14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.64(f)
Partial views. 37 CFR 3.84(10)(2)  1930 History (1800 be one chily.  1930 Miles)	Shoots not rembered consquatively, and in Arabic connection beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)
Viows out falleted separately or property.	15. NUMBERING CIT VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(1)  Views for membered consequilyety, and in Assis numerals, peginning with number 1. Pig(n)
Fig(x) Enlarged view not laboled separately or properly.  Fig(x)	16, CORRECTIONS, 37 CHR 1.84(w)  Corrections and from prior PTD-948
7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CPR 1.D4 (h)(3)  Hampling not indicated for sectional partique of an object.	17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1,152
Fig(s) Sectional designation should be noted with Acabic or	Sorface wheeling shown not appropriate. Fig(s)  Solid black shipting not used for color contract.
leavest interests Life(s)	fig(s)
COMMENTS	
	•

REVIEWER\_\_\_\_\_

DATE 9/20/29 TELEPHONE

ONE NO. 2033058906

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO.

NO.810

	Notice of References Cited			Application No. 09/328,946	Applicanti	Dvarkis			
_				Kerl D. Pro	Examinar Group Art D. Frech 2876				
<b> -</b>	<u> </u>			<b>₽,3.</b> F	ATENT DOCUMENTS		······································		
<u> </u>	-	DOCUMENT NO.	OATE	<u>[                                    </u>	эмл	2		CLASS	SUZCLASE
ļ 	, A	5,572,008	11/5/96	·' 	Sakol e	t el		235	487
		4,708,298	8/23/88		Меуогя			235	462
	↓¢.	5,475,208	12/12/85		Магоп			235	487
	ļo	5.484,980	1/16/96	ļ	Lindachor et el		235	467	
	6	5,179,271	1/12/93	· ·	Lindacher et al		235	467	
	•				····		1,		
	0		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			· 1 44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	н			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1				>	
	ı		†· ' <u> </u>				<b>57</b>		*1
•	ر ا		·		t ingreen			•	<del> </del>
•	K	·			· ·	-	<b>—</b> —…		<b>=</b> 1(1)
	L		"		11	<del></del>	,	•- <i>•</i> ·	<del></del> .
					.,	<del>-</del>		<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u> </u>	KORFIGN	PATENT DOCUMENTS	······································			'
	<u> </u>	DOCLMENT NO.	PATE	•	MULITY	NAME			A. A
	N				- Marian	HWMC		CLASS	±140CLA35
- - - -	0						HILES.		<del></del>
٠	- -		<del></del> -						- 11
	<b>-</b> ,					<del>_</del> , _, _,	<del> </del>		
	<u>-</u>								,
,	R •	· <del></del>			· <b></b> ·			<u> </u>	
$\dashv$	- A				· - <del></del> -			. ,	<del></del>
	Ţ	V+-2-4							
<del> ,</del>				Non-P	atent documents				• /
_			pocument (Inch	ding Author,	This, Source, and Penhant Pay	eri			PATE
	u '								<u> </u>
!								İ	
•								1	
	-		<del></del>			<del></del>	· <del>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>	-	
	-       v					<del></del> , -	·		- su <u>-</u>
	-     v					,			- 202
	v								- su-
						,			